UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,276	06/30/2004	Bogdan Radu	MASLIAC-44	4275
37690 7590 06/06/2007 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP (LEAR)			EXAMINER	
2700 CAREW TOWER			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
	441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<u> </u>	, •		3634	
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/710,276	RADU ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gregory J. Strimbu	3634		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	·				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims					
5)□. 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 6 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers	*	•		
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the capelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
			·		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 3634

#### Election/Restrictions

Page 2

It light of the cancellation of claims 12-14 of Group II, the restriction requirement of October 11, 2006 has been rendered moot.

# **Drawings**

The drawing correction filed April 4, 2007 has been approved.

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,276

Art Unit: 3634

6,983,978 in view of Youngs et al. Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,983,978 are silent concerning a carrier plate.

However, Youngs et al. discloses a carrier plate 16 positioned between a door frame 12 and a trim panel 18, the carrier plate comprising polypropylene, and including a sealable opening 19.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,983,978 with a carrier plate, as taught by Youngs et al., to reduce the amount of noise transferred to the vehicle compartment. See column 5, lines 43-47 of Youngs et al.

It should be noted that the terminal disclaimer filed April 4, 2007 fails to overcome the double patenting rejection as set forth above since it disclaims the term of U.S. Patent No. 6,892,496 rather than U.S. Patent No. 6,983,978.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Youngs et al. (US 6,892,496). Youngs et al. discloses a vehicle door assembly for a

Art Unit: 3634

motor vehicle, comprising: a door frame 12; a door trim panel 18 secured removably to said door frame; a carrier plate 16 positioned between said door frame and said door trim panel; a door component 32; and a plurality of fasteners 42 and 58 securing the door component and the carrier plate to the door frame, the fasteners 42 configured to initially support the door component 32 on the carrier plate before the carrier plate is positioned between the door frame and the door trim panel in the assembly, the door component 32 comprises a door latch, said door frame supports more than 50 percent of the weight of said door component since the fasteners 42 can be removed so that the frame 12 has to support the entire weight of the door component 32, the carrier plate 16 comprises polypropylene (see column 3, lines 12-13), the carrier plate includes a sealable opening 19.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the applicant's comments concerning Youngs et al., the examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 1 sets forth a plurality of fasteners which secure the door component and the carrier plate to the door frame. Therefore, the plurality of fasteners can comprise both the fasteners 58 and 42 since the fastener 42 secures the door component to the to the carrier plate while the fasteners 58 secure the carrier plate to the door frame. Thus, the plurality of fasteners 58, 42 secures the door component and the carrier plate to the door frame. Additionally, the fasteners 42 secure the door

Art Unit: 3634

component on the carrier plate before the carrier plate is positioned between the door fame and the door trim panel as shown in figure 3. The claims do not require the fasteners 42 to both secure the door component to the carrier plate and secure the carrier plate to the door frame as argued by the applicant.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 571-272-6836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

May 29, 2007